UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,711	10/03/2005	Kevin John Hartle	29390-1	6193
21130 7590 11/13/2009 BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER, COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP ATTN: IP DEPARTMENT DOCKET CLERK 200 BURLING SOLLARE			EXAMINER	
			WILLIAMS, MAURICE L	
200 PUBLIC SQUARE SUITE 2300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-2378			3611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@beneschlaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,711	HARTLE, KEVIN JOHN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MAURICE WILLIAMS	3611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 3 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second sec	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 30-32,34-46 and 48-58 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 30-32,34-46 and 48-58 is/are rejecte 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/551,711 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 30-32, 34-40, 42-44, 46, 48-52, 55-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pardy (GB 2,265,959) in view of Hess et al. (US 6,340,510). Pardy discloses:

A fluid pressure disturbance damping arrangement, and a method of damping, comprising: an elongate flexible damping hose (**Figs. 2-4**) in fluid communication with a return line (**Fig. 1**), a non-circular cross section area the peripheral wall being responsive to impulsive or vibrational pressure disturbances in the contained fluid to deform and restore locally changing the shape of the cross-section area (col. 1, ln. 30-31) to dissipate energy.

The peripheral wall of the damping hose is arranged to define different cross-sectional areas at different longitudinal positions (Fig. 5)

Regarding claims 32 and 46

The peripheral wall is configured to change shape in response to contained fluid pressure disturbances without storing disturbance energy solely as elastic stretching of the peripheral wall (pg. 1, ln. 30-pg. 2, ln. 1)

The damping hose has two opposing first wall parts normally closer together than two

orthogonally disposed opposing second wall parts (Fig. 4)

The peripheral wall has a shape defining a generally elliptical cross-section. (**Fig. 4**) The damping hose is provided in fluid communication with the return line of the fluid actuation device and holds hydraulic fluid (**Fig. 1**; pg. 2, ln. 31-32), and is between the actuator and return line (pg. 3, ln. 7-8 explains how connectors are used at **30** to connect the pipes).

The damping hose is of fixed length (Fig. 1)

Pardy discloses as discussed above, but does not directly disclose a wall construction of interwoven strands having a substantially fixed cross section. Hess discloses a hose having a wall of a substantially fixed length in the cross sectional plane (Figs. 1) with interwoven strands (11, 12). Hess also discloses that the walls contact each other in the absence of pressure (col. 2, ln. 24-26). Col. 1, ln. 62-63 states that the sleeve can be placed over objects of irregular shape, which would allow it to conform to the noncircular shape disclosed in Pardy. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Pardy as taught by Hess in order to increase the strength/ resistance of the hose wall and protect the hose.

3. Claims 34, 41, 45, 53, 54 and 58 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pardy in view of Hess as applied to claims 30, 42 and 56 above, and further in view of Ozeki (US 6,176,147). Pardy and Hess discloses as discussed above, but does not directly disclose a vehicle power steering rack that supports the damping

Art Unit: 3611

hose. Ozeki discloses a hose (54), which delivers hydraulic fluid (col. 1, ln. 6) and is disposed along a rack casing (22).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Pardy as taught by Hess and Ozeki in order to place the damping hose in a hydraulic steering system in a position which will not obstruct the other components in the vehicle.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see Arguments, filed 7/31/2009, with respect to the § 103 rejections in view of Ragout have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAURICE WILLIAMS whose telephone number is (571)272-4263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 a.m. - 5 p.m. .

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (571) 272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,711 Page 5

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Maurice Williams/ Examiner, Art Unit 3611 Maurice Williams Examiner Art Unit 3611

MLW November 7, 2009

> /LESLEY D MORRIS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3611